

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/672,713 | 09/26/2003 | David J. Sugden | 864.046 | 1142 |
| 7590 04/25/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Timothy E. Newholm BOYLE, FREDRICKSON, NEWHOLM, STEIN & GRATZ, S.C. | | | KOVACS, ARPAD F | |
| 250 Plaza, Suite 1030 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 250 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 | | | 3671 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 10/672,713 | SUGDEN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| Árpád Fábián Kovács | 3671 | | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 March 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| oce the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Day | | | | | |
| | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/672,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9 & 32, drawn to specifics of a tow arm, classified in class 56, subclass 249.
 - II. Claims 10-15, drawn to specifics of a quick connect assembly, classified in class 56, subclass 15.3.
 - III. Claims 16-19, drawn to specifics of a latch assembly, classified in class56, subclass 294.
 - IV. Claims 20-22, drawn to Group I in combination with a deck, lawnmower, classified in class 56, subclass 249.
 - V. Claims 23-28, drawn to Group II in combination with a deck, lawnmower, classified in class 56, subclass 15.3.
 - VI. Claims 29-30, drawn to Group III in combination with a deck, lawnmower, classified in class 56, subclass 294.
 - VII. Claim 31, drawn to a generic claim of a cutter assembly having nonspecific elements of Group I-III, classified in class 56, subclass 7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Groups I and II and III and Groups IV & V & VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The

Art Unit: 3671

subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I & IV has separate utility such as golf green mower, invention III & VI has separate utility as a reel mower. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Inventions Groups IV & V & VI and Groups I & II & III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because subcombinations Group I requires a torsion spring, a hitch pin for the quick connect and a latch pin assembly; Group II requires a quick connect mounting bracket, plurality of U bolts; Group III requires spring used to bias the latch pin (versa a cross pin utilized in Group VI) into retracted position, a collar, a slot in the collar. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing reel mowing, thus not requiring a cutting deck (as claimed in Groups IV-VI).
- 4. Inventions Group VII and Groups I-VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a torsion spring that bias the second link downwardly relative to the first link, a collar mounted on

Art Unit: 3671

the first link, a cross pin mounted on the first link etc.... The subcombination has separate utility such as reel mower.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

ÁFK